President’s Message
Jim Mumm, Marquette University Law Library

Dear LLAW Members,

At home, I have a shamrock plant that my wife gave me last year. Amazingly, (no thanks to me) it has stayed nice and green all year long. It is a beautiful little plant that closes its leaves in the evening and spreads them out again when the sun comes up. I am totally amazed by this, not knowing how or why it does this, but at dusk it will close its leaves, and at dawn open them up again as if to say welcome to a new day.

These daily cyclical movements, remind me of the cyclical nature of LLAW. A person agrees to be president (in my case), and for a year has the joy of working with a group of very talented, honest and motivated people.

One of the joys of being in a position like this is that I’ve had opportunities to throw ideas into the pot, and hear people’s reactions. On at least two occasions, the ideas have taken fruition, hopefully for the betterment of LLAW. One such idea was to consider having another “LibraryFest” since the last time we did this was in 1998. No sooner had I mentioned this at a board meeting, than Diane Duffey, Bev Butula and several others took the lead on developing an institute that will be held at the new Marquette Law School on October 8, 2010. I’m very excited about this, and hope everyone in LLAW will be able to attend.

Another item that came through an email I received and forwarded is the exploration of and soon to be establishment of credit cards as an option for payment of LLAW memberships and events. Thank you, Susan O’Toole
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for taking this on, and in advance to Carol Hassler for working at getting this onto our website.

What is LLAW, though, without programs? We have had some wonderful opportunities to get together this year. In October, we learned about foreign legal research with Sunil Rao (special thanks to everyone who helped hold up the screen!). In January we discovered Emerging Technologies in Law Libraries, with Bev Butula and Bonnie Shucha, and just a few days ago we were privileged to have Wisconsin Supreme Court Justice Ann Walsh Bradley speak to us on Women’s History Month, and the role women have played in Wisconsin over the past century. In May, we will have our final program of the year, with a topic of Training Summer Associates. Thank you to Jill Bradshaw, Jenny Zook and Julie Norton for putting together these wonderful programs.

In addition to the above named people, there are others who have been doing marvelous work on the board. (Candace with archives, Tony with Government Relations, Maureen with grants, Diane and Marc with membership, Jamie with nominating, Barb with placement, Nancy and Laura with public relations, Carol with the web, Leslie for her work with PALI, and Carol for her work with the State Bar.) My hat is off to each of you for your continuing efforts on behalf of LLAW.

Notably absent from the list above are Bev Butula and Julia Jaet for their tireless work over at least the past 7 years with the LLAW Newsletter and now LLAW Briefs. (I say at least, because I was able to identify Julia and Bev as Lead and Pre-publication editors since 2004, but they have both been active with the newsletter since long before then, as they worked with me on the newsletter at various times before they came on board as defined leaders of the newsletter.) I cannot say enough for their hard work, task mastering (even to the point of gently reminding me that I’m late with this column), diligence, and production of our newsletter. We are excited for our new editors who are coming on board, but I want to take a special moment to offer both Bev and Julia my personal thanks for all they have done. I know how much work goes into publishing this, and am truly delighted with all of their efforts.

I’ve probably said enough, and my little shamrock is probably folding its leaves for yet another evening. Tomorrow it will be fully open again, and next year we will look forward to new leadership with Jenny Zook at the helm. Everyone in this organization is amazing, and I’m looking forward to another wonderful year with LLAW.

Jim

---

**LLAW Grant Available for AALL Annual Meeting!**

The LLAW Grants Committee will be awarding one *complimentary* full registration along with $400 to attend the AALL Annual Meeting, July 10-13, 2010 in Denver, Colorado.

The application and more information can be found at [http://www.aallnet.org/chapter/llaw/grants/index.htm](http://www.aallnet.org/chapter/llaw/grants/index.htm)

The deadline to apply is Thursday, April 15, 2010.
Member News

**Carol Bannen**, Reinhart Boerner Van Deuren, is one of the speakers in the AALL webinar “Take the Write Road” scheduled for April 14, 2010.

**Bev Butula**, Davis & Kuelthau, published the following *Inside Track* articles:

**Jenny Gartman**, vonBriesen & Roper, **Jamie Kroening**, Godfrey & Kahn, and **Laura La Rose**, Reinhart Boerner Van Deuren, will participate in LexisNexis’ Teaching Research in Private Law Libraries (TRIPLL) Conference on April 23-25 in Dallas, TX


**Lisa Winkler**, Dane County Legal Resource Center, graduated library school in December and is now working full time as a Reference Librarian.

---

2010-2011 LLAW Election

Jaime Kroening, Godfrey & Kahn

I am pleased to present to our membership the slate of candidates for officer positions in LLAW for the 2010-2011 year:

**VICE PRESIDENT/PRESIDENT ELECT**: Laura La Rose, Research Specialist, Reinhart Boerner Van Deuren

**SECRETARY**: Katie Nelson, Directory of Library & Research Services, von Briesen & Roper S.C.

**TREASURER**: Susan O’Toole, Director of Milwaukee Library Services, Foley & Lardner LLP

An email was sent to the LLAW listserv with a link to the electronic ballot. Please contact Jamie Kroening, [jkroening@gklaw.com](mailto:jkroening@gklaw.com) if you did not receive the email. Ballots can be cast until March 31, 2010.
## Events Calendar

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Event Description</th>
<th>Website</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>April 1</td>
<td>AALL deadline to apply for a grant to attend the annual meeting / workshop</td>
<td><a href="http://www.aallnet.org/committee/grants/grant_application.asp">http://www.aallnet.org/committee/grants/grant_application.asp</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April 14</td>
<td>AALL Webinar: Take the Write Road</td>
<td><a href="http://www.aallnet.org/calendar/">http://www.aallnet.org/calendar/</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April 15</td>
<td>LLAW deadline to apply for a grant to attend the annual meeting</td>
<td><a href="http://www.aallnet.org/chapter/llaw/grants/index.htm">http://www.aallnet.org/chapter/llaw/grants/index.htm</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 24-29</td>
<td>American Library Association Annual Conference, Washington, D.C.</td>
<td><a href="http://wwwALA.org/ala/conferences/events/upcoming/annual/index.cfm">http://wwwALA.org/ala/conferences/events/upcoming/annual/index.cfm</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AALL Calendar of events,

### Future AALL Annual Meetings:
- July 23-26, 2011 Philadelphia, PA
- July 21-24, 2012 Boston, MA
- July 13-16, 2013 Seattle, WA
- July 12-15, 2014 San Antonio, TX

### Future SLA Meetings:
- June 12-15, 2011 Philadelphia, PA
- July 15-18, 2012 Chicago, IL
- June 9-12, 2013 San Diego, CA
- June 8-11, 2014 Vancouver, BC
The Next Door Foundation Makes Reading Accessible to Underserved Children
Wesley Hoffman, Godfrey & Kahn

Godfrey & Kahn S.C., in Milwaukee has instituted a volunteer program during the week of January 18th to honor Martin Luther King Jr. If an employee donates time to a charity that shares Dr. King’s vision, they will be given paid time off for their time volunteering. I chose to volunteer at The Next Door Foundation, specifically their “Books for Kids” program.

The Next Door Foundation is designed to "support the intellectual, physical, spiritual and emotional development of children so they become self-sufficient, contributing members of the community." They offer several programs, for children and adults, focused on education, health and leadership skills. Books for Kids is a program that collects books for The Next Door Foundation Library, where children can come and take books that they may never have had access to otherwise. In 2008 alone, approximately 107,000 books were distributed to Milwaukee children. However, it takes volunteers many hours to get these books ready for distribution.

On the 21st of January my mother and I reported to the library for our promised volunteer hours. We were met by Maggie, who showed us to the book holding room. One wall was covered with boxes of donated books, stacked nearly to the ceiling, while the rest of the room was lined with shelving. Books have come from book drives at businesses, churches, schools and an annual drive that partners with the United States Postal Service. To begin, the books are inspected to judge their condition, making sure there is no writing on pages, no pages falling out and no damage. The books covers are disinfected, and a Next Door Foundation sticker placed on the back. The books are then sorted according to their content and reading level with categories ranging from chapter books, religious, history, biographies and even joke books. When one particular category began to overflow, Maggie would start placing those books in the library. We felt like a lot of progress was made in the three and a half hours that we spent helping this organization. We thoroughly enjoyed our morning volunteering, knowing that we were coupling mother/daughter bonding time with helping a worthy cause. Plans are already under way for helping next year!

The Next Door Foundation is always in need of help! Their close proximity to the downtown area makes it a convenient place to volunteer if you have time off from work. Check out their website for a list of programs and volunteer opportunities!

The deadline for submitting articles for the next LLAW BRIEFS is June 1, 2010.
Submit articles to Emily Koss emkoss@michaelbest.com
**AALL Announcements**

---

**Renew Your AALL Membership Early for a Chance to Win a Free 2010 AALL Annual Meeting Registration**

In March, AALL dues invoices for 2010-2011 will mail out to all library directors for their institutionally paid memberships and to all other individual members. The deadline for membership renewal is May 31.

This year, when you renew early—by May 1—you will be entered in a drawing for a free 2010 AALL Annual Meeting and Conference registration. If you renew on time—by May 31—you'll be entered in a drawing for a free AALL webinar of your choice in 2010-2011.

Following is the 2010 membership renewal schedule:

- **March:** First dues invoices mailed out
- **May:** Second dues invoices mailed out
- **June:** Final dues invoices mailed out
- **July:** Expiration notices e-mailed to all members—individuals and those paid by institutions
- **August 1:** Expired members deleted from the AALL membership database and access to the AALLNET Members Only Section and Law Library Journal and AALL Spectrum subscriptions discontinued.

For more information or to renew your membership online, view the application form on AALLNET. If you have any questions about your membership renewal, contact AALL Headquarters at membership@aall.org or 312/205-8022.

---

**Get a Free Year of AALL Membership with Nonmember Annual Meeting Registration**

New this year AALL is offering nonmembers a complimentary one-year membership when they register for the AALL Annual Meeting and Conference, to be held July 10-13 in Denver. The membership includes:

- Career resources, such as the online AALL Career Center and continuing education to help you learn new skills to advance in your career
- Access to specialized information created just for law librarians, such as the AALL Biennial Salary Survey and the AALL Price Index for Legal Publications
- Subscriptions to the monthly magazine, AALL Spectrum, and quarterly journal, Law Library Journal, to help you keep up on the latest trends in law librarianship
- The opportunity to network and connect with other law librarians from across the country who share similar interests and are facing the same challenges
- Discounted rates on all AALL products and services, such as publications, webinars, and online job postings

Annual Meeting registration is now open. Register by June 1 at the early bird rate and save $100. Be sure to take advantage of this special offer for nonmembers.
AALL Partners with Drexel University Online to Offer Members Reduced Tuition

AALL is pleased to introduce a new educational partnership with Drexel University Online. Through this partnership, AALL members and their immediate family members are entitled to receive a 20 percent tuition reduction for Drexel’s top-ranked online library science programs. Benefit from Drexel’s academic excellence, convenient online format, and specially reduced tuition rates.

Key benefits for AALL members:
- 20 percent tuition reduction for AALL members and family
- Library Science program ranked among the best by U.S. News & World Report
- Earn the same distinguished Drexel degree as on campus
- Access classes conveniently online—anytime, anywhere

Library Science Programs:
- MS in Library & Information Science
- MS in Information Systems
- Advanced Certificate of Information Studies & Technology
- Certificate in Healthcare Informatics

Apply online at no cost. Enter “AALL” as your partner code on your application to receive your 20 percent tuition reduction. For more information, visit http://www.drexel.com/aall or contact 866-803-0053 or partners@drexel.edu

Save the Date for April AALL Webinar: Taking the Write Road

Learn the nuts and bolts of getting published and hear the insiders' perspectives of writing and editing:
April 14, 12-1 p.m. EST. Check the AALL Calendar of Events for more information.

Pick of the Month

AALL's Continuing Professional Education Committee presents the AALL2go pick of the month: Libraries of Hope: When Professional Competencies and Social Responsibility Connect.

As librarians, how do we best put information into the hands of the world? Librarians Without Borders (LWB) have developed guidelines for providing sustainable information that is tailored to each community's language and culture. Sandra Hodgson describes sending health-related materials to Angola and the continuing efforts of LWB, including advising those who want to send books to other countries. Jane Kenny Meyers developed similar relevancy guidelines when opening a library for street kids in Zambia. The children found a safe haven where they had the opportunity to improve their English language literacy to the point that they could seek acceptance to secondary schools where they received room and board.

Find this and more than 60 other free continuing education programs and webinars for AALL members on AALL2go!
AALL Grant Information

Interested in attending the AALL Annual Meeting, July 10-13, 2010 or one of the workshops affiliated with the conference in Denver, CO? Consider applying for an AALL Grant to cover your registration costs. There are two categories - Experienced Members and Student/New Members. The deadline is April 1, 2010.

Please note that prior recipients may still apply as long as they have not received a grant within the past three years.

Purpose:

The AALL Grants Program provides financial assistance to experienced law librarians who are actively involved in AALL or its chapters and to newer law librarians or graduate students who hold promise of future involvement in AALL and the law library profession. Funds are provided by AALL, AALL individual members, and vendors.

Grants cover registration costs at either the Annual Meeting or workshops associated with the Annual Meeting. Individuals eligible for the Experienced Member Grant are active members with five or more years of AALL or AALL chapter membership. Individuals eligible for the Student/New Member Grant are active members with less than five years of AALL or AALL chapter membership or student members of AALL or an AALL chapter.

Qualifications:

An applicant must meet all of the following criteria to be considered for the award:

* be a member or student member of AALL or an AALL chapter;
* not have received a grant in the past three years.; and
* submit a timely and complete application.

*If circumstances prevent a recipient from using the grant, it reverts to the Committee; however, the recipient remains eligible to apply another year.

Instructions:

Submit one application package with the following items:

* a complete, legible application form;
* two letters of recommendation that comment on your potential to contribute to AALL and the field of law librarianship and address your need for the grant; and
* a current resume is strongly suggested. Note: Applications and letters of recommendation must be on 8 1/2" x 11" paper.

Deadline:

The complete application package must be received by April 1 at the address below:

Grants Committee Chair
American Association of Law Libraries
105 W. Adams Street, Suite 3300
Chicago, Illinois 60603

Application for Experienced Members
http://www.aallnet.org/services/grant_application_experienced.pdf

Application for Student/New Members
http://www.aallnet.org/services/grant_application_new.pdf
**Recommended Readings**

*Cindy May, University Of Wisconsin Law Library*

March 2010

*Trends in Law Library Management and Technology* 19:5-10 (2009)

This article discusses two means by which the Law Library of Congress is sharing its unique capabilities in foreign and comparative research: the Global Legal Monitor and the Multinational Collections Database.

Alexander, Juraj and Gyárfáš, Juraj. “Legal Research in Slovakia (Including a Brief Description of Slovak Political and Legal System).”
*GlobaLex* (January/February 2010) [http://www.nyulawglobal.org/globalex/Slovakia.htm](http://www.nyulawglobal.org/globalex/Slovakia.htm)

Ambrogi, Robert J. “Poised to Pounce?”
*Law Technology News* 17(1):50-51 (January 2010)

Google Scholar [http://scholar.google.com](http://scholar.google.com) now claims to include all U.S. Supreme Court opinions, federal court opinions since 1924, many federal district court opinions, and opinions from all fifty state supreme courts back to 1950. Unknowns include the precise scope of the database, its currency, and the source and accuracy of the texts.

Ambrogi, Robert J. “Three’s a Crowd?”
*Law Technology News* 17(2):36-37 (February 2010)

Bloomberg, known for its financial news, launched Bloomberg Law, which bills itself as the only legal research service that integrates legal content with proprietary news and business intelligence. It also offers uniform, fixed pricing. But it’s a work in progress, with incomplete features, and it remains to be seen whether there will be enough demand for it in the legal market.

Bambauer, Derek E. “Cybersieves.”

This article advocates the development of metrics to determine how vendors should decide when to sell censorship-enabling technology to countries, how governments should decide whether to regulate such sales by law, and how stakeholders can evaluate countries’ online information restrictions.

*LLRX* (December 18, 2009) [http://www.llrx.com/features/amendedsettlementagreement.htm](http://www.llrx.com/features/amendedsettlementagreement.htm)

Berkland, Margaret. “LLRX Court Rules, Forms and Dockets.”


*Commlaw Conspectus* 18:241-268 (2009)

Takedown notices are requests to Internet service providers by copyright owners demanding that their copy

(Continued on page 11)
righted material be removed from a website. This comment examines the takedown notice procedure under the DMCA, identifies inequities in the procedure, and suggests solutions designed to restore balance between the interests of copyright holders and third party users.

Legal Information Alert 28(7):p. 1, 3-4, 12 (July/August 2009)

This first of two parts discussed new additions, changes, and enhancements to LexisNexis and Loislaw, and introduces Fastcase by describing its distinct features.

Butula, Bev. “Go Vertical with Your Medical Research.”

Butula, Bev. “Google Scholar: Another Option for Retrieving Full-text Court Decisions?”

Butula, Bev. “State Documents Online: Looking for Real Property, Administrative Hearings and Appeals, and Finance Documents?”

Butula, Bev. “Use Citation Finders to Quickly Locate Full-text Internet References.”
Inside Track (February 17, 2010) http://bit.ly/aY1Xhf

AALL Spectrum 14(2):24-25, 30-31 (November 2009)

In this article the authors seek to provide law librarians with the factual background they need in order to provide persuasive answers when asked why the AALL salary survey is the best evaluation tool for compensation in law libraries.

Cadmus, Femi. “Making the Leap to Management: Tips for the Aspiring and New Manager.”

How can law librarians enter successfully into leadership, managerial and/or supervisory roles? How can they avoid the pitfalls and develop effective leadership and managerial skills? The author offers tips to make the transition easier.

Carine, Heather. “Gaining Support for Your Ideas.”
Australian Law Librarian 17:263-265 (2009)

The author suggests tips to help convincing others to support your ideas: having clear goals, targeting your audience, developing a sound reputation, finding opportunities to influence your users, considering timing, articulating benefits, pitching your ideas effectively, and being persistent.

ABA Journal 96(2):34-39, 65 (February 2010)

It’s an exciting time for computer-assisted legal research. Westlaw and Lexis are planning to launch new platforms, while relative newcomers like Fastcase, Bloomberg Law, and Google Scholar challenge the long-time Westlaw/Lexis market dominance.
(Continued from page 11)

Darnton, Robert. “Google and the New Digital Future.”

LLRX (November 30, 2009) http://www.llrx.com/features/accesssocialwebsiteslegalenvironmentpt1.htm

Donovan, James M. “Back Away from the Survey Monkey.”
AALL Spectrum 14(2):20-23 (November 2009)

The author argues that there are too many surveys, and too many ill-designed ones. He reviews the basics of survey design and posits that, if prepared and administered carefully and knowledgeably, our surveys can offer solid insights on a variety of law library issues.

Dove, Charles P. “The Global Legal Information Network Database.”

This article is an overview of the history and development of the Global Legal Information Network (GLIN) database, available at www.glin.gov. It highlights how the current GLIN system evolved, the contents of the database, and how it operates from the user's perspective.


The author argues that, once it is recognized that patents and copyrights should be subject to limited terms, most of the legal principles of physical property law can be transferred to intellectual property law, resulting in a unified system of property rights.


Ms. Fortney argues that state and local governments claim copyright in the law they promulgate must be made to see that the law, public policy, and their own self-interest dictate that access to the law has to be unrestricted.

Journal of Intellectual Property Law 17:147-172 (Fall 2009)

This note argues that requiring copyright holders to engage in a fair use analysis prior to issuing a takedown notice creates an improper burden on copyright owners to discharge what would be an affirmative defense in a potential infringement action.

New York University Law Review 84:1105-1147 (October 2009)

The author reviewed proposed solutions that address privacy concerns in government information gathering in general and the Patriot Act in particular.

Law Library Journal 101:451-470 (Fall 2009)

(Continued on page 13)
This essay focuses on the public domain, the common space where creative works not protected by copyright exist. Prof. Gasaway argues that public domain should be the norm, and that copyright protection should be afforded only when certain conditions are met.


This article describes the three basic types of social networking tools: communication, distribution, and organization, and discusses how some of these tools can be used to help law librarians better serve their users.

Hayes, Carol M. “Content discrimination on the Internet: Calls for Regulation of Net Neutrality.”

The concept of net neutrality is that Internet service providers should enable exchanges in a content-neutral manner. This note examines a recent Federal Communications Commission decision and subsequent actions that have been taken towards regulating net neutrality concerns.

*AALL Spectrum* 14(3):14-17 (December 2009)

Affective Computing Software (ACS) has the capacity to determine a person’s psychological state while he or she is viewing specific stimuli, such as websites or electronic databases. This article explains how ACS operates, its possible advantages in a law library environment, and its potential ethical, privacy, and legal pitfalls.


This comment discusses the Digital Millennium Copyright Act (DMCA) safe harbor provisions and the pattern of deference shown by courts to online service providers (OSPs) when interpreting these provisions. More broadly, it examines how the DMCA affects the interests of OSPs, content owners, and everyday users.

*Law Library Journal* 101:485-515 (Fall 2009)

This bibliography gathers together books, chapters, journal and law review articles, and free websites. Its intended audience is American law students, law professors, law librarians, and legal practitioners.

Jacoby, Conrad J. “Understanding the Limitations - and Maximizing the Value - of eBooks.”


The author surveys arguments for stare decisis, discusses psychological biases that he believes undercut arguments for stare decisis, and offers three case studies to support his views.

*GlobalLex* (January/February 2010) [http://www.nyulawglobal.org/globalex/Kuwait.htm](http://www.nyulawglobal.org/globalex/Kuwait.htm)


(Continued from page 12)

(Continued on page 14)
Beyond commercial databases and digital repositories, the author proposes that law libraries take advantage of micro-archives, which he defines as websites that gather together, index, and place for potential distribution materials from a range of sources that are united by a common theme.


In this article, the authors use statistical analysis to examine whether changes in copyright law influence the number of new works created. They find that the historical growth in new copyrighted works is largely a function of population rather than copyright law revisions.


This article introduces some of the major UN legal information sources, describes the kinds of information available from each, and provides some tips for researchers.


The author surveys the kinds of secondary liability problems that have arisen in the digital environment, and argues that they should be addressed through a broader examination of the purposes of digital copyright law more generally.


This article explores ways in which judges’ and lawyers’ expectations have been shaped by increasing Internet access over the last two decades. It concludes that lawyers cannot adequately represent clients without going beyond Westlaw and Lexis and conducting research on the Web.


The author argues that an administrative agency should regulate fair use, and offers two possible models of agency regulation.


A summary judgment in Siegel v. Warner Brothers recognized the copyright interest in Superman by the heirs of one of his creators, even though he had signed away his interest several times. This note examines the Siegel decision as an example of how an author’s moral rights to his creations can factor into American copyright law.


(Continued on page 15)
Morris, John B. and Wong, Cynthia M. “Revisiting User Control: The Emergence and Success of a First Amendment Theory for the Internet Age.” First Amendment Law Review 8:109-137 (Fall 2009)

Under the “user control” theory, if technology can provide users with the ability to control what they access online, government regulation of content would be unconstitutional. This essay looks at the origins and application of the “user control” theory in the online context and how the theory has withstood the test of time.


The Digital Millennium Copyright Act’s “notice and take-down” procedures provide safe harbors from copyright liability for Internet functions such as routing, caching, providing search tools, and hosting user content. The author argues that those procedures foster copyright claims that are overreaching and that ignore fair use.


This article takes a look at social networking through the prism of the law. The authors conclude that the forces that make social networking more dangerous than the paper world must be weighed against the benefits of using social networking, and include guidelines for law firms.

Olson, David S. “First Amendment Interests and Copyright Accommodations.” Boston College Law Review 50:1393-1423 (November 2009)

The author argues that the elimination of registration requirements and other formalities has significantly altered the traditional contours of copyright by greatly decreasing the public domain, and that consequently First Amendment scrutiny is needed in some situations.


Richards, Robert D. “Sex, Lies, and the Internet: Balancing First Amendment Interests, Reputational Harm, and Privacy in the Age of Blogs and Social Networking Sites.” First Amendment Law Review 8:176-216 (Fall 2009)

This article examines the legal evolution of online posting through blogs and social networking sites and the simultaneous development of internet service provider (ISP) immunity, concluding that reforms should seek to balance personal harms and first amendment interests.

Rosloff, Genevieve P. “‘Some Rights Reserved’: Finding the Space between All Rights Reserved and the Public Domain.” Columbia Journal of Law & the Arts 33:37-80 (Fall 2009)

U.S. copyright law originally required compliance with certain procedures for obtaining and keeping copyright protection. But since 1989 all original works of authorship are protected by copyright as soon as they are fixed in a tangible medium. The author proposes a system that would be a compromise between these two extremes.

Sag, Matthew. “Copyright and Copy-Reliant Technology.” Northwestern University Law Review 103:1607-1682 (Fall 2009)
The author argues that the Internet has changed the nature of copyright most significantly in the context of technologies that copy expressive works for nonexpressive ends, such as search engines or plagiarism detection software. He proposes that copyright law recognize a new principle of nonexpressive use through application of the fair use doctrine.

Schroeder, Lauren E. “The Rights of Muslim Women in the Middle East: A Pathfinder.”
International Journal of Legal Information 37:135-165 (Spring 2009)

This annotated pathfinder is designed as a guide for those who want to learn about the legal and social situation of Muslim women in the Middle East, but who don’t have a lot of advanced knowledge on the topic. It includes references to books, articles, reports, treaties, websites, etc.

Siess, Judith. “Embedded Librarianship: The Next Big Thing?”
Searcher 18(1):39-45
(January/February 2010)

The author proposes a future without physical libraries but with librarians embedded within units of their organizations. She argues that more and more resources are online, and that users increasingly only want online resources. She asks if it is fiscally responsible to require users to spend their time going to the library or trying to search online when an embedded librarian could come to them and conduct expert searches for them.


This note examines current factors affecting rights under copyright law, critiques the PRO-IP Act as a response to those factors, and explores recent business strategies for monetizing the production of intellectual property despite those factors. It argues that there is an overemphasis on ensuring fairness to commercial information producers in the Act.

Journal of Art, Technology & Intellectual Property Law 20:127-166 (Fall 2009)

The Public Access and Publisher Rights Collide in the Fair Copyright in Research Works Act of 2009 addresses the conflict between taxpayers’ perceived right to free access to federally-funded medical research and the journal publishing industry’s desire to continue to profit from the publication of medical research findings. The author outlines the arguments made by the bill’s supporters and opponents, and proposes a compromise solution.

Sommer, Kyle. “Riding the Wave: The Uncertain Future of RFID Legislation.”

Radio Frequency Identification (RFID) is a technology that uses electronic waves to identify an object or person, such as someone borrowing library materials. This note analyzes factors, such as privacy concerns, that could lead to legislation restricting the use of RFID.

Stanchi, Kathryn. “Persuasion: An Annotated Bibliography.”
Journal of the Association of Legal Writing Directors–JALWD 6:75-87 (Fall 2009)

This bibliography groups works into eleven categories: Use of narrative theory, Use of classical rhetoric, Use of contemporary rhetoric and argumentation theory, Use of visual and graphic arts to enhance persuas
sive writing, Use of social science in persuasive writing, Using authority to persuade, The structure of legal arguments and the use of framing techniques, The importance of emotion to persuasive legal writing, Ethical considerations in persuasion, What judges think, and Oral argument.

Stouffer, Christine M. “When Statistics Backfire.”

Strutin, Ken. “Wrongful Conviction and Attorney-Client Confidentiality.”

Journal of the Copyright Society of the USA 56:947-983 (Summer 2009)

This article argues that opt-out procedures are a valuable tool in the creation of digital libraries and that there should be legislation guaranteeing safe harbor from liability for copyright infringement for opt-out provisions.


The six papers in this symposium present diverse approaches to aspects of the collision between traditional intellectual property rights and new online distribution and communication channels.

Tardanico, Guy R. “Which Way Should Software Turn – Copyright or Copyleft? The Effect of GPLV3 on the Future of the Free and Open Source Software Movement.”
Journal of the Copyright Society of the USA 56:897-945 (Summer 2009)

This article discusses the fundamental problems inherent in many open source licensing schemes, including general issues of validity and enforceability and the problem of defining derivative works.


The author addresses questions that have arisen in recent months about PACER’s fee structure, functionality and privacy protections.

Warrington, Tara M. “Harry Potter and the Doctrine of Fair Use: Conjuring a New Copyright Complaint.”
Florida Coastal Law Review 10:622-656 (Summer 2009)

J.K. Rowling recently sued to prevent the publication of a Harry Potter encyclopedia. The author analyzes the current case law approach to fair use in this context, using the Harry Potter suit as one example of how she argues that the Copyright Act of 1976 should have been applied.

Watson, Carol A. “Effective Project Management: the Art of Creating Scope Statements.”
LLRX (February 6, 2010) http://www.llrx.com/features/projectscopestatements.htm

Watson, Carol A. “Project Management - A Law Librarian Survival Skill.”


This comment asks whether fourth amendment privacy rights should be extended to cloud computing.
defined as the storage of data online on remote servers. Having argued that online data should be protected, the author offers three possible solutions.


This comment addresses concerns over the enforcement of creative commons licenses. It concludes that either enforcing creative commons licenses as limited contracts or establishing a network of arbitration panels would be successful in furthering the goal of artistic and scientific progress while still allowing creators to retain a limited enforcement right.


Seeking permission to use online copyrighted content can be time-consuming, costly, and complicated. The author argues that in order to achieve broad user compliance, practical systems must be developed, implemented, and regularly revised to keep up with technological advancements and user demand, and to preserve respect for copyright.


* Photocopies of articles from periodicals cited herein may be obtained through the regular photocopy services of the UW Law Library (608-262-3394) or the Wisconsin State Law Library (608-266-1600).
I. The meeting was called to order at 12:09 by President Jim Mumm. Present were:

   President.........Jim Mumm
   Past President .....Jamie Kroening
   Archives.........Candace Hall Slaminski
   Grants…………..Maureen Burns
   Newsletter………..Julia Jaet
   PALI……………..Leslie Behroozi
   Program………….Jill Bradshaw
   Public Relations…Nancy Scibelli
   Web Site…………Carol Hassler
   VP/President Elect……Jenny Zook
   Treasurer………………Susan O’Toole
   Government Relations….Tony Chan
   Membership…………Marc Weinberger
   Nominating…………Jamie Kroening
   Placement…………Barbara Fritschel
   Public Relations……Laura La Rose
   School Liaison………..Carol Schmitt

II. Approval of Minutes from Last Meeting
Moved by Barbara Fritschel. Motion passed.

III. Treasurer’s Report—Report by Susan O’Toole Currently (as of 11/18/09)

   Ending Balance – checking account       $  5257.26
   Ending Balance – savings account         $  3713.71
   Balance Total                            $  8970.97

   a. Update on Change of checking account from Small Business to Organizational Checking.
      The change is complete. The new account has no penalty for falling below a minimum balance.

   b. Update on use of PayPal
      Susan has had trouble getting the account to work. She’s still working on it.

IV. President’s Report

   Jim reported that there was an issue with the Bylaws online with some pages having the wrong revision date at the bottom. Clean up has been done and the issue is resolved.

   Jim offered some topics for discussion in future Executive Board meetings
      Considering an LLAW LinkedIn page
      Establishing an Ad Hoc Mentoring Committee
      Hosting a Law Library Day for UWM SOIS students
      Developing a tagline for LLAW that reflects professionalism and the value of LLAW membership

V. Committee Reports

   a. Archives – Report by Candace Hall Slaminski
      Bev and Candace did some weeding in September. They are down to three boxes to go through and will finish in the next few months. The next step is to discuss converting to electronic.

   b. Government Relations – Report by Tony Chan

(Continued on page 20)
Tony is monitoring the CCAP legislation that is before the Assembly. There was a public meeting on October 1st.

c. Grants – Report by Maureen Burns
   Nothing to report. Watch for future grant announcements.

d. Membership – Diane Duffey/ Marc Weinberger/ Report by Marc Weinberger
   Active -- 75
   Student -- 6
   Associate -- 5
   Sustaining --3

   Marc stated that they have begun tracking back non-renewals to find out why people haven’t re-
   newed. They would like to see membership grow to between 90 and 100.

   The new editors of LLAW Briefs will be Emily Koss of Michael, Best & Friedrich; Jessie Miller of
   Cooke & Franke; and Emily Weiss of Marquette Law Library.

   Bev & Julia will make a list of procedures for producing the newsletter. Deadline for the Winter issue is December 1.

f. Nominating – Jamie Kroening -- No Report

g. PALI – Report by Leslie Behroozi
   Leslie and Carol Hassler are meeting the week of November 23-27.

h. Placement – Barbara Fritschel -- No Report

i. Program – Report by Jill Bradshaw and Jenny Zook
   The next Quarterly membership meeting will be about the use of technology in the law and the
   meeting will be a videoconference.

   The committee has not heard from Justice Shirley Abrahamson as to when she will be available to speak at a meeting.

j. Public Relations – Report by Laura La Rose and Nancy Scibelli
   Diane Duffey of Habush, Habush & Rottier won the 2009 Unsung Hero Award in the Law Librarian
   category from the Wisconsin Law Journal. The other distinguished nominees in the category were
   Jennifer Dedolph of Reinhart Boerner and Carol Hassler of the Wisconsin State Law Library.

   Since the last LLAW Executive Board Meeting, LLAW members have authored the six articles
   listed below.

   "It's 10 a.m. - Do you know your client's information needs?," *AALL Spectrum*, Vol. 14, No. 2, No-
   vember 2009; Barbara Fritschel.

   "Online collaborative whiteboards: An alternative to the face-to-face meeting?,” *Inside Track*,

   “Buddy can you spare the time? A look at the bright side of furloughs,” *AALL Spectrum*, Vol. 14,
   No. 1, September/October; Jenny Zook.

“What keeps you awake at night?,” Wisconsin Lawyer, Vol. 82. No. 8, August 2009; Mary Koshollek.


“There’s more than Google: Powerful general Internet search engines,” Inside Track, 10/7/2009; Bev Butula.

VI. Ad Hoc Committee Reports

a. State Bar Liaison Committee – Report by Carol Schmitt
   The State Bar is considering offering different levels of membership and may add a librarian membership that would give some sort of discount on publications, however it may not be as much as the attorney discount.

b. Committee for the Educational Institute – Diane Duffey / Report given by Jim Mumm
   The committee met in October and November; they plan to meet once a month to discuss programming and venue.
   Possible venue would be Marquette’s new Law School.
   Committee is discussing keynote speaker, additional speakers, a reception, and who to invite (LLAW, CALL, SLA).

VII. Old Business

a. Print newsletter
   We charge $4.00 per year for print newsletters. The cost of postage is $1.22 which has been absorbed by Marquette Law Library but that will not continue when new editors take over. We have already eliminated the print membership directory. All print newsletter subscribers were asked if they would accept email delivery instead. Only one did not reply.

   Carol Schmitt moved to eliminate the printed newsletter as of June 2010. Nancy Scibelli seconded. The motion carried. There will be two more print newsletters before the change takes effect. The print newsletter delivery option will be removed from the membership application.

   Jill Bradshaw noted that some members also still get meeting announcements through the mail. Marc Weinberger and Jill will contact those people and ask if they would accept email announcements.

   Maureen Burns moved that we discontinue sending print meeting announcements. Seconded by Candace Hall Slaminski. The motion was tabled until the next meeting pending further information from Marc and Jill.

VIII. New Business

a. Do we want to consider conducting a survey similar to one AALL recently sent us? (Pros? Cons? Is it
worth doing?)

Diane Duffey sent a summary of the results of the LLAW membership survey that she compiled in 2008. Jim mentioned that we might want to mine the results of that survey for more detail before we decide whether to re-do it.

Jim passed out a summary of the LLAW Executive Board members to the recent AALL survey. The results of the AALL survey will be a good discussion topic for a future Board meeting.

IX. Other Announcements

The February Executive Board meeting will be a dinner meeting half way Madison and Milwaukee.

X. Adjournment  Time: 12:52 p.m.

Moved: Candace Hall Slaminski  Second: Laura La Rose

Law Librarian Association of Wisconsin
2009-10 2nd Quarterly Membership Meeting
Thursday, January 14, 2010

I. The meeting was called to order at exactly 6:30 by President James Mumm.

II. Minutes from the First Quarterly Membership Meeting on October 14, 2009 that was held at Hi-Way Harry’s in Johnson Creek Wisconsin were discussed. The minutes were published in the Winter 2010 Issue of LLAW Briefs. Bev Butula moved to approve the minutes and Jane Moberg seconded. Motion carried.

III. Treasurer’s Report given by Susan O’Toole
(Report as of 1/14/10)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ending Balance Checking</td>
<td>$5,257.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ending Balance Savings</td>
<td>$3,714.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balance Total</td>
<td>$8,971.59</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IV. President’s Report given by Jim Mumm

The President thanked Lexis and Bridget McMillan for sponsoring the meeting, and Mary Koshollek and Jill Bradshaw for hosting.

The President reported that the next meeting of the Executive Board will be a face to face meeting so the Board can discuss the results of a membership survey from last year and brainstorm for the future.

There were some date discrepancies with the online copies of the bylaws that needed to be cleaned up and that has been finished.

The President encouraged members to get involved by running for a position on the Executive Board.

V. Committee Reports

(Continued on page 23)
a. Archives – Candace Hall Slaminski—no report

b. Government Relations – Tony Chan—no report

c. Grants – Maureen Burns—no report

d. Membership – Diane Duffey & Marc Weinberger—report given by Diane Duffey

We have 76 Active members, 6 Student, 3 Sustaining, 4 Associates.
Diane reminded everyone that the membership directory is now paperless and can be downloaded from the LLAW website. Email Diane for the password.

New members attending their first meeting were Jessie Miller of Cook & Franke, Jenny Gartman of von Briesen & Roper, and Claudia Bodine of Meissner, Tierney, Fisher & Nichols.

e. Newsletter – Bev Butula & Julia Jaet—report given by Bev Butula

Emily Koss, Jessie Miller and Emily Weiss have agreed to be the next newsletter committee.

The President thanked Bev Butula and Julia Jaet for their eight years of service as editors.

f. Nominating – Jamie Kroening—report given by Jamie Kroening

Susan O’Toole has agreed to stay on as Treasurer and Katie Nelson has agreed to stay as Secretary. Jamie is in discussion with a possible candidate for Vice President. Jane Moberg mentioned that there has only been one contested race for Board positions but it is not LLAW’s tradition to nominate more than one candidate.

g. Placement – Barbara Fritschel—no report

h. Program – Jill Bradshaw & Jenny Zook—report given by Jenny Zook

The next membership meeting is on Tuesday March 9, 2010, and will feature Justice Anne Walsh Bradley as our speaker.
The last Quarterly meeting will be held in Milwaukee.
The committee welcomes any ideas for future meeting programs.

i. Public Relations/Articles – Nancy Scibelli, Laura La Rose—report given by Nancy Scibelli

Nancy stated that she is looking for authors. Please let Nancy know if you are interested in writing an article as she has a list of publishers who are looking for articles to publish.

j. Web – Carol Hassler—no report

Ad hoc committees

a. PALI – Leslie Behroozi—no report
b. State Bar Liaison Committee – Carol Schmitt—no report
c. Committee for Educational Institute – Diane Duffey—report given by Bev Butula

The committee planned to meet the week of January 18th for further planning. Announcements will be coming.
VI. Old Business
There was no Old Business.

VII. New Business
There was no New Business

VIII. Other Announcements
There were no other announcements.

IX. Adjournment
The meeting adjourned at 6:46 on a motion by Amy Bingenheimer, seconded by Mary Koshollek. Approved.

The business meeting was followed by a lively and highly informative presentation from Bev Butula of Davis & Kuelthau and Bonnie Shucha of the UW-Madison Law Library, titled “Emerging Technologies in Law Libraries: It’s Everybody’s Business”.

The presenters described and demonstrated many new online tools for content creation, information sharing and delivery, productivity and library management, and networking. The handouts from the meetings were uploaded to Scribd by Bonnie Shucha and can be accessed at http://www.scribd.com/doc/25167164/Emerging-Technologies-in-Law-Libraries

Revision to Bylaws

The Board has proposed a small revision to the LLAW bylaws. Discussion on this revision will occur at the final member meeting of this term.

PROPOSED BYLAW REVISION:

Old language:

Section 1. Classes. There shall be four (4) classes of members:

(A) Active Members. Any individual officially connected with a law library, or with a law collection in any library in Wisconsin, or who has had such connection within the past seven (7) years, may become an active member upon payment of annual dues. Such membership cannot be transferred or assigned.

(B) Associate Members. An individual not connected with a law library or law collection may become an associate member upon payment of annual dues. An active member may become an associate member when no longer officially connected with a law library or law collection.

(C) Sustaining Members. Any individual or any company or institution or representative thereof, other than a law library, interested in supporting law librarianship may become a sustaining member. A sustaining member shall not be eligible to vote or hold office in the corporation.

(D) Student Members. Any individual currently enrolled in any institution of higher education who has an interest in law librarianship may become a student member. A student member shall not be eligible to vote or hold office in the corporation.

(Continued on page 25)
New language (see underlined/red text):

Section 1. Classes. There shall be four (4) classes of members:

(A) Active Members. Any individual officially connected with a law library, or with a law collection in any library in Wisconsin, or who has had such connection within the past seven (7) years, may become an active member upon payment of annual dues. Such membership cannot be transferred or assigned.

(B) Associate Members. An individual not connected with a law library or law collection may become an associate member upon payment of annual dues. An active member may become an associate member when no longer officially connected with a law library or law collection. **An associate member shall not be eligible to vote or hold office in the corporation.**

(C) Sustaining Members. Any individual or any company or institution or representative thereof, other than a law library, interested in supporting law librarianship may become a sustaining member. A sustaining member shall not be eligible to vote or hold office in the corporation.

(D) Student Members. Any individual currently enrolled in any institution of higher education who has an interest in law librarianship may become a student member. A student member shall not be eligible to vote or hold office in the corporation.

**Reasoning:**

Active members are the only individuals permitted to vote per Article II, Section 2 of the Bylaws. The Sustaining and Student Class definitions clearly spell that out, but the language appears to be missing in the definition of an Associate member. This revision corrects the oversight.
LLAW Statement of Accounts - Summary
2009/2010

As of 03/11/10

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Checking Account</th>
<th>Revenue</th>
<th>Expenses</th>
<th>Totals</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Beginning Balance</td>
<td>Budgeted Amt</td>
<td>$ 2,905.63</td>
<td>$ 2,905.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transfer from / to Savings</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Board of Directors</td>
<td>$25.00</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Archives</td>
<td>$75.00</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Government Relations</td>
<td>$25.00</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grants</td>
<td>$2,400.00</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$ (2,000.00)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Membership</td>
<td>$50.00</td>
<td>$ 2,206.50</td>
<td>$ 4.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Newsletter</td>
<td>$175.00</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nominating</td>
<td>$50.00</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Placement</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Program</td>
<td>$2,750.00</td>
<td>$ 2,537.73</td>
<td>$ 1,444.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Access to Legal Information</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Relations / Articles</td>
<td>$200.00</td>
<td>$ 250.00</td>
<td>$ 36.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Website Committee</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Digitization - Special Committee</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating</td>
<td>$25.00</td>
<td>$ 0.27</td>
<td>$ 36.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Checking Totals</td>
<td>$5,775.00</td>
<td>$ 7,900.13</td>
<td>$ 3,521.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$ 4,378.84</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Savings Account</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Beginning Balance</td>
<td>$ 3,712.15</td>
<td>$ 3,712.15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest</td>
<td>$ 2.50</td>
<td>$ 2.50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>From Checking</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transfer from / to Checking</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Savings Total</td>
<td>$ 3,714.65</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$ 3,714.65</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Checking and Savings Totals to date $ 11,614.78 $ 3,521.29 $ 8,093.49